

NOV 3 2005 2:31PM - TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER NO. 9021 P. 1

NOV 03 2005

Atty Docket No. 21756-011900

Client Ref. No. OID-2005-162-01

PTO FAX NO.: 1-571-273-8300

ATTENTION: Examiner Shah, Kamini S.

Group Art Unit 2142

**OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION
FOR THE PERSONAL ATTENTION OF
EXAMINER Shah, Kamini S.**

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION


I hereby certify that the following documents in re Application of Joan C. Teng, Application No. 09/998,910, filed November 30, 2001 for TEMPLATE BASED WORKFLOW DEFINITION are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Documents Attached

1. Examiner Interview Summary (2 pages)

Number of pages being transmitted, including this page: 3

Dated: November 3, 2005



Sara B. McPeak

**PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS PAPER BY
RETURN FACSIMILE AT (303) 571-4321**

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834
Telephone: 303-571-4000
Fax: 303-571-4321
3910

60627242 v1

NOV 3 2005 2:31PM - TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND

NO. 9021 P. 2

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 03 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile
transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office,
Fax No. 1 (571) 273-8300 on November 3, 2005

PATENT

Docket No.: 21756-011900

Client Ref. No. OID-2005-162-01

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: Sara B. McPeak
Sara B. McPeak

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Joan C. Teng

Application No.: 09/998,910

Filed: November 30, 2001

For: TEMPLATE BASED WORKFLOW
DEFINITION

Customer No. 51206

Confirmation No. 4169

Examiner: Shah, Kamini S.

Art Unit: 2142

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Via Facsimile 1-(571) 273-8300
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is filed in response to an Examiner Interview conducted on November 2, 2005 between Examiner Blair and the undersigned regarding the above-referenced application. As an initial matter, Applicants thank the Examiner for the kind and courteous Interview and the effort in moving this matter towards allowance.

Generally speaking, the Interview addressed the Amendment filed October 19, 2005 in response to the Final Office Action of August 19, 2005. More specifically, the Examiner referenced the remarks from the Amendment directed to U.S. Patent No. 6,675,261 ("Shandony"). In light of these remarks, the Examiner indicated that he would re-open prosecution. The Applicants thank the Examiner for his careful consideration and effort and look forward to receipt of a new Office Action.